

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,597	11/14/2001	Kenji Ose	SIC-00-001-4	3657

7590 01/12/2004

DELAND LAW OFFICE
P.O. Box 69
Klamath River, CA 96050-0069

EXAMINER

KIM, CHONG HWA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3682

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/992,597

Applicant(s)

OSE, KENJI

Examiner

Chong H. Kim

Art Unit

3682

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 October 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 34-37, 40-47 and 49-72 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 34-37, 40-47, 49-72 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on Oct 7, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 34-37, 42-47, and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Higuchi, WO 92/19488.

Higuchi shows, in Figs. 1-6, a bicycle shift control device comprising;

a base member 6b;

a rotatable dial 9 coupled to the base member for rotation coaxially around a rotational axis, wherein the rotatable dial is exposed to the outside;

wherein the rotatable dial is not structured to surround a handlebar so as to rotate coaxially around the handlebar;

Art Unit: 3682

a motion limiting structure 22 coupled to the base member and to the rotatable dial that limits a range of rotation of the rotatable dial relative the base member to a predefined arc, wherein the rotatable dial moves unobstructively within the predefined arc between a cable pulled position and a cable released position;

a finger contact projection 18a extending from the rotatable dial in a direction of the rotational axis;

wherein the finger contact projection is structured to prohibit the extension of a finger between all portions of the finger contact projection and the rotatable dial;

wherein the finger contact projection protrudes radially inwardly from a radially innermost outer peripheral surface;

wherein the finger contact projection extends in close proximity to the rotational axis;

a shift element coupler 12 disposed with the rotatable dial;

wherein the finger contact projection extends at least partially in a direction perpendicular to the rotational axis;

wherein at least one of the dial and the base member includes a coupling projection 7 for coupling the dial to the base member;

wherein the coupling projection is disposed on the dial and extends into an opening in the base member;

an attachment band 6 extending from the base member, wherein the attachment band has a substantially cylindrical shape;

wherein the attachment band includes a first mounting hole that aligns with a second mounting hole (as shown in Fig. 1);

wherein the shift element coupler is attached to the rotatable dial;

wherein the shift element coupler is fitted within a coupler bore formed in the rotatable dial;

wherein the shift element coupler includes cable end bead receiving opening 13;

wherein the shift element coupler has a substantially cylindrical shape, and wherein the cable end bead receiving opening extends diametrically through the shift element coupler;

wherein the motion limiting structure comprises a motion stop 22 that cooperates with a first limit stop and a second limit stop (as described in the Abstract);

wherein the motion stop extends from the base member; and

wherein the first limit stop and the second limit stop are disposed on the rotatable dial;

wherein the rotatable dial includes a motion limiting groove 23 that forms the first limit stop and the second limit stop (as described in the Abstract);

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 34-37, 40, 41, 44, 49-51, 53, 56-59, and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wechsler, U.S. Patent 3,965,763.

Wechsler shows, in Figs. 1-3, a bicycle shift control device comprising;

a base member 33;

Art Unit: 3682

a rotatable dial 22 coupled to the base member 33 for rotation coaxially around a rotational axis (bolt 34), wherein the rotatable dial is exposed to the outside;

wherein the rotatable dial is not structured to surround a handlebar so as to rotate coaxially around the handlebar;

a motion limiting structure 37, 38 coupled to the base member and to the rotatable dial that limits a range of rotation of the rotatable dial relative the base member to a predefined arc, wherein the rotatable dial moves unobstructively within the predefined arc between a cable pulled position and a cable released position;

a finger contact projection 32 extending from the rotatable dial in a direction of the rotational axis;

wherein the finger contact projection is structured to prohibit the extension of a finger between all portions of the finger contact projection and the rotatable dial;

wherein the finger contact projection extends in close proximity to the rotational axis;

a shift element coupler disposed with the rotatable dial (column 4, lines 46-50);

wherein the finger contact projection extends at least partially in a direction perpendicular to the rotational axis;

wherein at least one of the dial and the base member includes a coupling projection 34 for coupling the dial to the base member;

wherein the coupling projection 34 is disposed on the dial and extends into an opening in the base member (see Fig. 4);

wherein the rotatable dial and the finger contact projection are one piece;

Art Unit: 3682

wherein the base member includes a cable guide having a cable guide opening for receiving a cable therethrough;

an attachment band extending from the base member 33;

wherein the motion limiting structure comprises a motion stop 38 that cooperates with a first limit stop (gear position number 1) and a second limit stop (gear position number 10);

wherein the motion stop 38 extends from the base member; and

wherein the first limit stop and the second limit stop are disposed on the rotatable dial;

but fails to show the finger contact projection protrudes radially inwardly from a radially innermost outer peripheral surface; and two finger contact surface that faces in the perpendicular direction to the rotational axis and forms a continuous surface with the dial.

It would have been obvious to modify the finger contact projection shape of Wechsler by having the projection to protrude radially inwardly from a radially innermost outer peripheral surface and extend the projection so that it forms a continuous surface with the dial, since applicant has not disclosed that having the projection at this specific shape solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the bicycle shift control device would perform equally well with the finger contact projection at any reasonable shape that would accommodate the fingers to hold and rotate therewith.

6. Claims 54 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wechsler in view of White et al., U.S. Patent 3,398,600.

Wechsler shows, as discussed above in the rejections of claims 34, 36, and 37, the bicycle shift control device comprising the coupling projection extending into the opening in the base member, but fails to show the coupling projection includes a slot and a locking abutment.

White et al. shows, in Figs. 4 and 5, a rotatable dial 26 comprising a coupling projection 22 having a slot 34 that allows the coupling projection to be compressed and wherein the coupling projection includes a locking abutment 40 facing the rotatable dial 26 for locking the rotatable dial to the base member.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the coupling projection of Wechsler with the snap-in coupling projection as taught by White et al. in order to provide a simpler design wherein the tolerances between the projection and the receiving end need not be accurately controlled, as described in column 2, lines 1-2 of White et al. so that the cost of manufacturing can be reduced.

7. Claims 61-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wechsler in view of Knop, U.S. Patent 3,766,793.

Wechsler shows, as discussed above in the rejections of claims 34 and 56, the bicycle shift control device comprising the finger contact projection, but fails to show the projection extending across substantially an entire diameter, through the rotational axis, and perpendicular to the surface or outer portion of the dial towards the rotational axis of the dial.

Knop shows, in Fig. 1, a gear shift control device 10 comprising a base 22; a rotatable dial 10 coupled to the base member for rotation coaxially around a rotation axis 14, wherein the rotatable dial is exposed to the outside; a finger contact projection 16 extending from the

Art Unit: 3682

rotatable dial in a direction of the rotational axis; wherein the finger contact projection is structured to prohibit the extension of a finger between all portions of the finger contact projection and the rotatable dial; wherein the finger contact projection extends in close proximity to the rotational axis; a shift element coupler 24 disposed with the rotatable dial;

wherein the finger contact projection extends across substantially an entire diameter of the dial;

wherein the finger contact projection extends through the rotational axis;

wherein the finger contact projection extends diametrically across substantially an entire diameter of the dial;

wherein the finger contact projection extends from a surface of the dial that is generally perpendicular to the rotational axis;

wherein the finger contact projection extends from an outer portion of the dial towards the rotational axis.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the dial of Weschler with the dial having the vertically extending finger contact projection as taught by Knop in order to provide a more ergonomic knob that can be turned with more ease.

8. Claim 72 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wechsler in view of Knop.

Wechsler shows, in Figs. 1-3, a bicycle shift control device comprising;

a base member 33;

Art Unit: 3682

a rotatable dial 22 coupled to the base member 33 for rotation coaxially around a rotational axis, wherein the rotatable dial is exposed to the outside;

a motion limiting structure 37, 38 coupled to the base member and to the rotatable dial that limits a range of rotation of the rotatable dial relative the base member to a predefined arc;

a finger contact projection 32 extending from the rotatable dial;

wherein the finger contact projection rotates with the rotatable dial;

wherein the finger contact projection is structured to prohibit the extension of a finger between all portions of the finger contact projection and the rotatable dial; and

a shift element coupler disposed with the rotatable dial (column 4, lines 46-50);

but fails to show the finger contact projection being non-circular that extends upwardly from an upper surface of the dial and inwardly toward the rotational axis; and the finger contact projection protrudes radially inwardly from a radially innermost outer peripheral surface.

Knop shows, in Fig. 1, a gear shift control device 10 comprising a base 22; a rotatable dial 10 coupled to the base member for rotation coaxially around a rotation axis 14, wherein the rotatable dial is exposed to the outside; a noncircular finger contact projection 16 extending upwardly from an upper surface of the rotatable dial that is generally perpendicular to the rotational axis; wherein the finger contact projection rotates with the rotatable dial; wherein the finger contact projection extends radially inwardly toward the rotational axis; wherein the finger contact projection is structured to prohibit the extension of a finger between all portions of the finger contact projection and the rotatable dial; and a shift element coupler 24 disposed with the rotatable dial.

Art Unit: 3682

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the dial of Weschler with the dial having the vertically extending finger contact projection as taught by Knop in order to provide a more ergonomic knob that can be turned with more ease.

As to the matter of the finger contact projection extending radially inwardly toward the rotational axis from a radially innermost outer peripheral surface, it would have been obvious to modify the finger contact projection shape of Wechsler by having the projection to protrude radially inwardly from a radially innermost outer peripheral surface, since applicant has not disclosed that having the projection at this specific shape solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the bicycle shift control device would perform equally well with the finger contact projection at any reasonable shape that would accommodate the fingers to hold and rotate therewith.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 34-37, 40-47, 49-72 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chong H. Kim whose telephone number is (703) 305-0922. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday; 8:00 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9326.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,597


Page 11

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

chk

January 11, 2004


CHONG H. KIM
PRIMARY EXAMINER